Interview Summary

Application No. 09/291,347

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Hanak et al.

Peter Tung

Group Art Unit 1652

(1) Peter Tung	(3)
(2) Patrick Farley	•
Date of Interview Sep 18, 2001	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	d. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N/A.
	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
any other comments:	
In response to Applicants arguments, new grounds of rejection will be withdrawn.	ection are required. Therefore, the finality of the previous Office
action will be withdrawn.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims o copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable in
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FF	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST IPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

PETER TUNG PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 1652